

# *Anopheles arabiensis* oviposition site selection in response to habitat persistence and associated physicochemical parameters, bacteria and volatile profiles

L. K. ENEH<sup>1</sup>, U. FILLINGER<sup>2</sup>, A. K. BORG KARLSON<sup>1</sup>,  
G. KUTTUVARAJARAO<sup>3</sup> and J. LINDH<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chemical Ecology, Department of Chemistry, School of Engineering Science in Chemistry, Biotechnology and Health, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden, <sup>2</sup>International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology, Human Health Theme, Thomas Odhiambo Campus, Mbita, Kenya and <sup>3</sup>Department of Industrial Biotechnology, School of Engineering Sciences in Chemistry, Biotechnology and Health, KTH, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

**Abstract.** A better understanding of the oviposition behaviour of malaria vectors might facilitate the development of new vector control tools. However, the factors that guide the aquatic habitat selection of gravid females are poorly understood.

The present study explored the relative attractiveness of similar artificial ponds (0.8 m<sup>2</sup>) aged at varying lengths prior to opening in such a way that wild *Anopheles arabiensis* could choose between ponds that were freshly set up, or were aged 4 or 17 days old, to lay eggs. Physicochemical parameters, bacterial profile and volatile organic compounds emitted from ponds were investigated over three experimental rounds.

Fresh ponds contained on average twice as many *An. arabiensis* instar larvae (mean 50, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 29–85) as the ponds that had aged 4 days (mean = 24, 95% CI = 14–42) and 17 days (mean = 20, 95% CI: 12–34). Fresh ponds were associated with a significantly higher turbidity combined with higher water temperature, higher nitrite levels and a lower pH and chlorophyll level than the older ponds. Round by round analyses suggested that bacteria communities differed between age groups and also that 4-heptanone, 2-ethylhexanal and an isomer of octenal were exclusively detected from the fresh ponds.

These characteristics may be useful with respect to developing attract and kill strategies for malaria vector control.

**Key words.** Malaria, oviposition, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis, volatile compounds, physicochemical parameter.

## Introduction

Knowledge of the cues utilized by gravid female mosquitoes to select suitable habitats for their offspring may facilitate the development of more effective or novel mosquito control tools. Oviposition site seeking mosquitoes may respond to cues of a visual, tactile and olfactory nature (McCrae, 1984; Bentley &

Day, 1989; Millar *et al.*, 1992; Eneh *et al.*, 2016a). *Anopheles gambiae s.l.*, one of the principal vectors of malaria in Africa, is often reported to prefer temporary, shallow, sunlit pools or puddles for oviposition (Mereta *et al.*, 2013); however, a great range of habitats has been described for different eco-epidemiological settings (Fillinger *et al.*, 2004, 2009). The age of the standing water providing potential oviposition sites has been associated

Correspondence: Lynda K. Eneh, Chemical Ecology, Department of Chemistry, School of Engineering Science in Chemistry, Biotechnology and Health, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Bogsätravägen 21, 127 38 Stockholm, Sweden. Tel.: +46 736718878; E-mail: kirie@kth.se

with differential attractiveness for malaria vectors in a previous study (Munga *et al.*, 2013), where older substrates had a lower abundance of *An. gambiae s.l.* larvae. Moreover *Anopheles* larvae have been found to be present less prominently in permanent larval habitats compared with temporary habitats (Gimnig *et al.*, 2001; Mereta *et al.*, 2013).

The cues associated with habitats more frequently colonized are not fully understood. Physicochemical, microbial and volatile chemical cues, as well as the presence of competitors and predators, may be involved in oviposition site selection and all of these may vary over time. Although some studies have found a significant association between physicochemical parameters of potential oviposition sites and the density of *An. gambiae s.l.* larvae, others have not and the results from these studies are often ambiguous (Robert *et al.*, 1998; Minakawa *et al.*, 1999, 2005; Gimnig *et al.*, 2001, 2002; Ye-Ebiyo *et al.*, 2003; Fillinger *et al.*, 2004, 2009; Mutero *et al.*, 2004; Munga *et al.*, 2005, 2013; Edillo *et al.*, 2006; Kaufman *et al.*, 2006; Awolola *et al.*, 2007; Muturi *et al.*, 2007, 2008; Mwangangi *et al.*, 2007a, 2007b; Antonio-Nkondjio *et al.*, 2011; Kenea *et al.*, 2011; Ndenga *et al.*, 2011; Kweka *et al.*, 2012; Mereta *et al.*, 2013; Dida *et al.*, 2015). For example, the pH of the water has been suggested to be associated with abundance of *An. gambiae s.l.* larvae in some studies (Gimnig *et al.*, 2001; Awolola *et al.*, 2007; Mereta *et al.*, 2013), whereas it was not associated with larval density in others (Edillo *et al.*, 2006; Muturi *et al.*, 2007; Mwangangi *et al.*, 2007b; Antonio-Nkondjio *et al.*, 2011; Kenea *et al.*, 2011; Ndenga *et al.*, 2011; Chirebvu & Chimbari, 2015; Dida *et al.*, 2015).

Previous studies have suggested that *An. gambiae s.s.* utilize volatile microbial metabolites to select between oviposition substrates in cage bioassays (Sumba *et al.*, 2004; Lindh *et al.*, 2008). Furthermore, recent studies have shown that they can use water vapour and chemical cues to locate and select oviposition sites in semi-field settings and in the field (Okal *et al.*, 2013, 2015; Lindh *et al.*, 2015). The first identified oviposition attractant for *An. gambiae s.l.*, cedrol, was suggested to be of microbial origin (Lindh *et al.*, 2015; Eneh *et al.*, 2016b).

Earlier studies also indicated that the presence of *Anopheles* larvae may influence the oviposition behaviour of conspecifics (Munga *et al.*, 2006; Sumba *et al.*, 2008), possibly by influencing the volatile signals emitted from the sites through dead larvae or their waste products. In addition, larval density and the presence of predators have been observed to affect *An. gambiae s.s.* oviposition choices in a cage experiment (Sumba *et al.*, 2008; Warburg *et al.*, 2011).

To date, no studies involving wild malaria mosquitoes have investigated bacterial, chemical and physicochemical profiles of pond water preceding larval colonization and thereby excluding larval-based cues. In the present study, we investigated the colonization of similar artificial aquatic habitats in close vicinity (less than 5 m apart) by wild *Anopheles*. The habitats were set up with the same material and oviposition substrates but staggered in time and allowed to age for different durations under natural condition in the field covered by a fine net. The habitats were then opened for colonization at the same time. The present study aimed to determine whether gravid *Anopheles* females make a choice between similar habitats in close range, as well as what cues might mediate the *de novo* oviposition site selection.

## Materials and methods

### Experimental location


The experiment was conducted in two open fields located approximately 1 km apart at the International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology–Thomas Odhiambo Campus (icipe-TOC), Mbita, western Kenya, on the shores of Lake Victoria (0°26'06.19"S; 34°12'53.13"E). The climate of Mbita is tropical with annual temperature in the range 18–28 °C and an average annual rainfall of 1769 mm (data from 2010 to 2013 obtained from icipe's weather station). The experiment was set up in the rainy season of 2013 between the months of April and May. The malaria vector species composition reported for the study area was dominated by *Anopheles arabiensis*; other species included *Anopheles gambiae s.s.*, *Anopheles funestus s.s.*, *Anopheles rivulorum* and *Anopheles coustani* (Kawada *et al.*, 2011; Herrera-Varela *et al.*, 2014; Lindh *et al.*, 2015).

### Experimental set-up

Ponds were made from a plastic basin of (upper diameter 55 cm) that was placed in a hole in the ground, so the top of the basin was in level with the ground. The ponds received 2 kg of dried soil mixed with 30 L of non-chlorinated tap water pumped from Lake Victoria. The water surface area of each pond was 0.8 m<sup>2</sup>. The soil (silty clay loam) (Herrera-Varela *et al.*, 2014) was collected from a site that was a natural *Anopheles* oviposition site. The site was dry and did not contain any viable *Anopheles* eggs or larvae at the time. The ponds were fitted with overflow points at two sides, protected with a mesh (0.6 × 0.6 mm) to prevent the loss of larva with rain. Daily rainfall data for the duration of experiments were collected from icipe's meteorological station.

Ponds were set up 4 m apart in five rows containing three ponds each. The location of the ponds at icipe-TOC was at least 70 m away from the next natural aquatic habitat to avoid direct competition between the artificial set-up and natural sites. Five ponds of each treatment (age 1: opened for colonization when 0 days old; age 2: opened for colonization when 4 days old; age 3: opened for colonization when 17 days old) were set up at random positions in such a way that all treatments were present in each row (Fig. 1). During ageing, colonization by mosquitoes and other organisms was prevented by covering the ponds with pieces of nets with a mesh (0.6 × 0.6 mm). The water level at the start of the experiment was marked on each pond and, if the water evaporated below this level, it was topped up.

A sweep net (length 40 cm, width 5 cm, height 10 cm) was used to count the number of *Anopheles* early-instar larvae on the fourth day after opening the ponds. Based on experience with this set-up and a previous study (Yaro *et al.*, 2006), it was assumed that, at this time, 90% of all eggs laid in the first 24 h of opening had hatched. The sweep net was passed over the surface of the water 10 times to exhaustively collect all mosquito larvae present. The content of the net was emptied into white plastic bowls, providing a contrast for larva counting. *Anopheles* larvae were differentiated from culicine larvae based on morphology and the number of *Anopheles* larvae



**Fig. 1.** Experimental set-up: distribution of open field ponds shown for a round. [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)].

in each pond was recorded. *Anopheles* larvae were brought to the laboratory and allowed to grow to late instars before polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based species analysis was implemented. All *Anopheles* collected from the artificial ponds were *An. arabiensis*, comprising the pre-dominant species in the study area (Herrera-Varela *et al.*, 2014). Water samples were taken for bacterial and chemical profiling on the day when ponds were opened for colonization (pre-colonization). Physicochemical profiling of pond water was performed on site and water samples taken for viable count of bacteria on the day that the ponds were opened and on the day that larval surveys were performed (4 days later) (Fig. 1). Larval count, physicochemical measurements and water collections for bacterial and chemical analyses were carried out between 09.00 and 11.00 hours on the day of sampling.

The experiment was replicated three times.

#### Physicochemical parameters

A hand-held multimeter (Multi 340i; WTW GmbH, Weilheim, Germany) was used to measure pH, dissolved oxygen (parts per million; p.p.m.) and conductivity ( $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ). Turbidity (nephelometric turbidity units; NTU) was measured with a turbidity meter (TURB 355IR; WTW GmbH). Aquamerck® test kits (Aquamerck® No.111151; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were used to measure nitrite (mg/L), nitrate (mg/L), phosphate (mg/L), carbonate hardness (mmol/L) and total hardness (mg/L). Water chlorophyll ( $\mu\text{g Chl}/\text{L}$ ) content was measured with an AquaPen AP100 (Photon Systems Instruments, Drásov, Czech Republic). Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) was based on measuring dissolved oxygen (Multi 340i; WTW GmbH) in water samples of 50 mL before and after storing in brown bottles at 25 °C for 5 days. BOD (p.p.m.) was calculated as the difference between oxygen level at the start and after 5 days. All variables were measured in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Bacterial viable count

Bacterial viable counts were performed for three randomly selected ponds of each age group. Bacterial culture plates were prepared by dissolving 18.2 g of Reasoner's 2 agar (Sigma-Aldrich Sweden AB, Stockholm, Sweden) medium in 1 L of deionized water. The resulting mixture was sterilized in an autoclave and poured into sterile Petri dishes (diameter 9 cm) with approximately 15 mL per dish. Water samples from the ponds were diluted a 100-fold with sterile saline solution (0.7% sodium chloride) and 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of the dilution was plated by spreading the content equally over a plate. Three replicate plates were used for each pond. The plates were incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. Thereafter, the number of colonies was counted and colony forming units (CFU)/mL were calculated.

#### Extraction of bacterial DNA from water samples

Water samples (50 mL) were taken from each of the ponds in Falcon tubes and centrifuged at 1800 g for 30 min. The supernatant was carefully decanted. The pellet was re-suspended in the remaining water and transferred to 1.5-mL Eppendorf tubes and then centrifuged at 18000 g for 1 min. Subsequent steps were performed in accordance with the handbook provided with the blood and tissue kit (Qiagen Ltd, Manchester, U.K.). Extracted DNA was stored at -80 °C.

#### PCR amplification of 16S rDNA and purification of PCR products

The extracted DNA samples were used as template to amplify the V3 region of the 16s rDNA gene with bacteria universal primers (Eurofin AB, Stockholm, Sweden): forward primer 968F (5'-CGC CCG CCG CGC GCG GCG GCG GGG GCG GGG GCA CGG GGG GAA CGC GAA GAA CCT TAC-3')

with GC clamp and reverse primer 1601R (5'-CGG TGT GTA CAA GAC CC-3'). PCR were carried out using PuReTaq Ready-To-Go™ PCR Beads (GE Healthcare illustra™; Fisher Scientific, Stockholm, Sweden). To each PCR tube, 22 µL of nuclease free water (Life Technologies Europe BV, Stockholm, Sweden), 10 pmol forward and reverse primer and 1 µL of DNA template were added. The initial denaturation of the dsDNA was at 94 °C for 5 min followed by a touch down temperature programme of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s and annealing at 58–48 °C for 30 s (1 °C decrease in temperature per cycle) and extension at 72 °C for 30 s. This was followed by 20 cycles at 94 °C, 50 °C and 72 °C for 30 s each, and then final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. Each template was amplified in two replicate 25-µL PCR reactions. These were pooled, purified and concentrated to 10 µL using the Qiagen MinElute PCR purification kit in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen AB, Sollentuna, Sweden). Mixing of replicate PCR reactions has been reported to be effective in reducing possible bias in 16S rDNA gene amplification of environmental samples that have a complex mixture of templates (Polz & Cavanaugh, 1998). The DNA concentrations of the eluted samples were determined using a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.A.). Agarose gel (1.5%) electrophoresis was carried out to confirm amplicon size by comparing band to the GelPilot 100 bp Ladder (Qiagen AB, Sollentuna, Sweden) after staining with gelRed (VWR International AB, Spånga, Sweden).

#### Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis

DGGE was used to examine the bacterial profile of the water samples. The purified and concentrated PCR products derived from water sample from ponds were analysed using the Dcode™ Universal Mutation Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories AB, Solna, Sweden). HyperLadder™ 25-bp DNA marker (Nordic Biosite AB, Stockholm, Sweden) was utilized as standard and 10 µL was loaded in the well in the middle of the gel. The two outermost wells were left empty. Separation of DNA fragments was carried out on polyacrylamide gel (6% acrylamide/bis-acrylamide, 37.5:1.0 w/w). The denaturing gradient ranged from 30% to 60% where 100% denaturant was 7 M urea and 40% (wt/v) deionized formamide. Each well was loaded with 400 ng of PCR product. Electrophoresis was performed in a buffer of 1 × TAE [Tris/acetate (pH 8; 0.5 M)-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid] at an initial voltage of 200 V for 10 min and subsequently 70 V for 16.5 h using an isothermal temperature of 60 °C. After the run, the gels were stained with 3× gelRed in 0.1 M NaCl in deionized water for 1.5 h with gentle shaking at 200 r.p.m.. Stained gels were visualized and photographed using ChemiDoc XRS (Bio-Rad Laboratories AB, Stockholm, Sweden) with an ultraviolet filter fitted.

#### Sampling of volatiles

Tenax TA traps were made by packing 25 mg of Tenax TA of mesh size 60/80 (Supelco; Sigma-Aldrich Sweden AB) into a

Gerstel-Twister Desorption glass liners (Gerstel GmbH & Co. KG, Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany) stopped with glass wool on both sides (Supelco; Sigma-Aldrich Sweden AB). The traps were washed 10 times with 2 mL of methyl-tert butyl ether (Supelco; Sigma-Aldrich Sweden AB) and openings covered with polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE) before being placed in a 50 °C oven for at least 6 h before use. All glassware utilized for volatile collections was washed with an odourless detergent (Teepol, general purpose detergent; Teepol Industries, Nairobi, Kenya) and then rinsed in water and acetone before being placed in an oven at 200 °C for at least 2 h before use. Volatile compounds were collected for 20 h from the headspace above 300-mL water samples in 500-mL Erlenmeyer flasks (E-flasks). The E-flasks were fitted with gas wash bottle heads (QuickFit joined ware, Stoke-on-Trent, U.K.). Water from the same source used to set up the ponds and empty E-flasks were included as controls to screen for background volatiles. As a result of a limited number of headspace trapping instruments, 12 of 15 pond water samples in each round were randomly selected for sampling. Charcoal filtered air was pumped into the E-flasks at 0.1 L/min and drawn out at the same speed through the outlet which had a Tenax trap fitted. PTFE tubing and PTFE tape were used to make the connections airtight. After collection, the traps were sealed with Teflon tape and stored at –70 °C prior to analysis with Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS).

#### GC-MS analysis

The Tenax traps were analysed for volatile compounds with an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph connected to an Agilent 5975C inert MSD with Triple Axis detector mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara CA, U.S.A.). The GC system was fitted with Gerstel Multi-Purpose Sampler (Gerstel GmbH & Co. KG). The GC capillary column (30 m, 250 µm internal diameter and 0.25 µm film thickness) was Agilent's HP-5MS (5% phenyl and 95% dimethyl polysiloxane). Prior to analysis, 1 µL heptyl acetate (3.16 ng/µL) was added to the Tenax trap in a Gerstel thermal desorption unit followed by thermal desorption of the trap in splitless mode at an initial temperature of 40 °C and then increased by 120 °C/min to 270 °C; this end temperature was held for 5 min. The desorbed volatiles were focused on a Tenax liner in a Gerstel CIS inlet at 10 °C. The CIS inlet, which operated in splitless mode, was then heated at a rate of 12 °C/s to 280 °C during which the volatiles were transferred to the column. The GC oven temperature was initially held at 40 °C for 1 min and then increased by 4 °C/min to 280 °C, which was held for 3 min. The carrier gas was helium at a pressure of 34 p.s.i. The MS was at full scan and identified mass ranges from *m/z* 30 to 400 with electron ionization at 70 eV and ion source temperature at 230 °C.

#### Statistical analysis

The association between the number of early-instar *Anopheles* larvae collected 4 days after opening the ponds and the three age treatments was analysed using a generalized linear model

fitted with a negative binomial distribution with a log link. The different age groups were included in the model as main effect. Round and the interaction between the rounds and treatments were included in the initial model but, because they had no significant association, they were excluded from the final model. The mean number of early-instar larvae and their 95% confidence intervals were estimated from this model. Analyses were conducted using SPSS, version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, U.S.A.).

Partial least square-discriminant analysis was used to explore the physicochemical data using SIMCA, version 13.0.3 (<https://umetrics.com/kb/simca-1303>). A statistical comparison of physicochemical parameters was performed with a non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test.

GC-MS data were analysed with CHEMSTATION, version E.02.01.1177 (Agilent Technologies). Chromatograms and mass spectra of samples were compared with that of the empty bottle and tap water controls. Sample peaks that had a different retention time and/or mass spectrum compared with the controls were assumed to be volatiles that were emitted from the ponds and therefore integrated. This was performed manually. When volatiles were present in control and sample but with a peak-area at least three times as large in the sample compared to the controls, they were also included. Volatiles with the same retention time and mass spectrum were given a unique volatile identification (volatile ID) number. Volatile ID numbers were assigned in increasing order of retention time. Mass spectra were matched to the spectra present in National Institute of Standards and Technology library 2008.

The DGGE gel images were analysed using IMAGE LAB gel analysis software (Bio-Rad Laboratories AB). The bands and lanes were first automatically detected and then manually adjusted. Band detection was set to custom sensitivity followed by a manual addition of bands which were visually detected. The relative front represents the band position of each band in relation to the highest and lowest band in each lane with a value of 0–1. The migration distance of each band was estimated by a regression method relative to the migration of the bands

in the standard (HyperLadder™ 25-bp DNA marker). DGGE bands with same migration distance were assigned the same band identification number.

The volatile and bacteria profiles were explored using principal component analysis (PCA) to visualize the chemical or bacterial relationship between ponds of the different age groups using the multivariate statistical software CANOCO, version 5.02 (<http://www.canoco5.com>). The presence/absence of the volatiles or bacteria were included in the analysis and the data were centred and standardized by volatiles/bacteria prior to analysis.


## Results

### Pond colonization


In all rounds, the majority of the ponds of all age groups were colonized (40 of 45) by early-instar *An. arabiensis* larvae 4 days after they had been opened. However, the possibility of finding an early-instar larva in ponds that were freshly set up and open for colonization on day 0 was two-fold higher (mean = 50, 95% CI = 29–85;  $p = 0.017$ ) than in ponds that were 4 and 17 days older. The mean number of early-instar *Anopheles* larvae in the 0.8 m<sup>2</sup> fresh ponds was 50 (95% CI = 29–85) compared with 24 (95% CI = 14–42) in 4-day-old ponds and 20 (95% CI 12–34) in 17-day-old ponds). There was no position effect on larval distribution (Fig. 2).

### Physicochemical profile at pre-colonization

Ponds were aged under natural climate conditions, including rainfall. Especially during the first round of experiments, rainfall was abundant, with 9 rain days of a total of 407 mm rain affecting the oldest age group (Fig. 3). Rounds 2 and 3 of the experiments experienced less rain; however, the oldest age groups were affected by heavy rains beginning in May.



**Fig. 2.** Investigating position effect on larval distribution. Rounds 1 (A), 2 (B) and 3 (C), respectively, of larval distribution in all 15 replicates per treatment. The bars represent fresh ponds left open for colonization after being set up, with that had aged for 4 days and that aged for 17 days before opening for colonization. [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)].



**Fig. 3.** Daily rainfall during the three rounds of experiments. The horizontal bars indicate the duration of aging (for 17 and 4 days) prior to opening the ponds on day 0. Larvae were sampled 4 days later. [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)].

**Table 1.** Comparison of physicochemical water parameters for 0-, 4- and 17-day-old ponds at pre-colonization.

Colonization stage	Physicochemical parameters	Day 0 Mean (95% CI)	Day 4 Mean (95% CI)	Day 17 Mean (95% CI)	P-value (bold significant)
Pre-colonization	pH	7.7 (7.5–7.9)	8.5 (8.3–8.7)	8.7 (8.5–8.9)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	Temperature (°C)	28.1 (27.7–28.5)	24.9 (24.2–25.6)	25.1 (24.4–25.8)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	Dissolved oxygen (ppm)	5.7 (4.9–6.5)	5.7 (5.2–6.2)	5.6 (4.9–6.3)	0.764
	Conductivity ( $\mu$ S/cm)	115 (99–131)	152 (112–192)	112 (106–118)	0.275
	Chlorophyll	183 (163–203)	697 (522–872)	831 (415–1247)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	Turbidity (NTU)	191 (105–277)	77 (56–98)	98 (77–119)	<b>0.028</b>
	Phosphate (mg/L)	0.9 (0.5–1.3)	0.7 (0.4–1.0)	0.8 (0.6–1.0)	0.821
	BOD (ppm)	2.6 (1.8–3.4)	3.1 (2.5–3.7)	3.1 (2.6–3.8)	0.547
	Nitrate (mg/L)	7.3 (4.8–9.8)	8.0 (5.7–10.3)	7.3 (4.8–9.8)	0.889
	Nitrite (mg/L)	0.02 (0.01–0.03)	0.01 (0.00–0.02)	0	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
	Carbonate hardness (mmol/L)	1.1 (0.9–1.3)	1.6 (1.2–2.0)	1.2 (1.1–1.3)	0.061
	Total hardness (mg/L)	32 (25–39)	27 (20–34)	22 (18–26)	0.090

Little rain was experienced when the ponds were opened. On the day when the ponds were opened, the ponds differently exposed to environmental conditions differed in water turbidity, water temperature, chlorophyll content, nitrite content and water pH (Table 1). Using partial least square-discriminant analysis, fresh ponds (0 days old: age 1) grouped differently from the other age groups (Fig. 4A) and were associated with a higher turbidity, water temperature and nitrite, as well as a lower pH and chlorophyll content (Fig. 4B) at the time when the measurements were taken.


#### Chemical profile at pre-colonization

In total, 384 volatile compounds were detected from 36 water samples; 149 of these were detected in more than five samples and were included in the multivariate PCA analysis (Fig. 5). Three of these volatile compounds (Table 2) were only detected in the fresh ponds. They were observed in more than 50% of the water samples taken from fresh ponds.


#### Bacterial profile at pre-colonization

The average number of CFU derived from fresh pond water samples (0 days old) was 50% lower compared with that from 4-day-old ponds and similar to that for 17-day-old ponds. However, the number of CFU almost doubled from pre-colonization to post-colonization for fresh ponds ( $5.3 \times 10^4 \pm 95\% \text{ CI} = 2.2 \times 10^4$  and  $8.8 \times 10^4 \pm 95\% \text{ CI} = 5.1 \times 10^4$  pre- and post-colonization, respectively), whereas a reduction by half was observed for the other two age groups (age 2:  $1.06 \times 10^5 \pm 95\% \text{ CI} = 5.9 \times 10^4$  and  $5.0 \times 10^4 \pm 95\% \text{ CI} = 3.1 \times 10^4$ ; age 3:  $4.3 \times 10^4 \pm 95\% \text{ CI} = 3.8 \times 10^4$  and  $3.5 \times 10^4 \pm 95\% \text{ CI} = 1.2 \times 10^4$  pre- and post-colonization, respectively).

In the culture independent analysis of the microbial profile of pre-colonization water, 46 different bands were detected (Fig. 6A) using DGGE. No band was unique to any of the three age groups; furthermore, the profile of bands detected for each age group varied between rounds. As a result of this round



**Fig. 4.** Partial least square-discriminant analysis score plot (A) of fresh pond; 0 days old, age 1; 4 days old, age 2; and 17 days old, age 3 ponds and loading plot (B) based on physicochemical and bacterial viable count data at pre-colonization. Physicochemical data (green dots) included were: conductivity ( $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ); carbonate hardness (mmol/L); total hardness (mg/L); phosphate (mg/L); turbidity (NTU); nitrite (mg/L); nitrate (mg/L); temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ); pH; oxygen dissolved (ppm); biochemical oxygen demand (ppm); and chlorophyll ( $\mu\text{g Chl}/\text{L}$ ). [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)].



**Fig. 5.** PCA of volatile compounds detected in pond water of different age group. Axes 1 and 2 explain 33% of the total variation in data. Compounds C15 = 4-heptanone; C44 = 2-ethylhexanal; and C90 = an octenal isomer associated with age 1 ponds (in red circle) were detected in up to 80% of the water samples from age group 1. Ages 1, 2 and 3 represent 0-, 4- and 17-day-old ponds, respectively. [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)].

**Table 2.** Volatile compounds detected only in the fresh ponds at pre-colonization.

Volatile ID	Number of replicates with compound ( $n = 10$ )	Mass spectra ions (ion abundance)	Compound name*
C15	8	43 (100), 71 (96), 41 (29), 114 (21), 39 (14)	4-Heptanone
C44	7	57 (100), 72 (94), 43 (82), 41 (57), 55 (38)	2-Ethylhexanal
C90	6	70 (100), 41 (96), 39 (81), 82 (79), 55 (75)	Octenal isomer

\*Tentatively identified based on mass spectra and comparison with the NIST08 library.

variation in band profile, PCA of the DGGE data did not show any consistent age-dependent grouping of the ponds (Fig. 6B). However, round by round DGGE profile analysis showed that the fresh ponds grouped separately from the other age groups in rounds 1 and 3 (Fig. 6C).

## Discussion


The present study explored the relative attractiveness of similar aquatic habitats of different persistence to wild gravid *An. arabiensis* females. Freshly prepared artificial ponds contained twice as many early-instar *An. arabiensis* larvae than

ponds that were exposed to natural climate conditions for 4 and 17 days before opening them for colonization. Hence, it is concluded that gravid females made a choice between these habitats that coexisted in close vicinity. This choice might have been based on attraction or stimulation by cues of the fresh ponds or on avoidance of cues associated with the older ponds. By contrast with previous field studies, which investigated water qualities of already colonized water, in the present study, it was possible to observe cues emanating from pond water directly preceding oviposition and excluding any confounding from conspecific larvae. Previous studies have shown that the presence of mosquito larvae may influence the oviposition behaviour of gravid *Anopheles* mosquitoes (McCrae, 1984; Munga *et al.*, 2006; Sumba *et al.*, 2008).

The artificial pond system has been used effectively at icipe-TOC for a large range of experiments over the past two decades (Fillinger *et al.*, 2003; Herrera-Varela *et al.*, 2014) and the larval densities encountered per square meter during the experiments were well within the range reported for previous experimental studies, as well as within the range of natural aquatic *Anopheles* habitats (Fillinger *et al.*, 2009; Ndenga *et al.*, 2011).

The fresh ponds were shown to differ from the older ponds with respect to physicochemical characteristics, as well as bacteria and volatile chemical profiles of the water, before colonization, potentially explaining the habitat selection by gravid females. The results reported in the present study complement those of Munga *et al.* (2013) who found significantly more larvae of *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. (based on daily larval sampling)





**Fig. 6.** (A) Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) of samples from pre-colonization pond water. The numbers 1, 2 and 3 represented different rounds, whereas ages 1, 2 and 3 represented 0-, 4- and 17-day-old pond water samples, respectively. (B) Principal component analysis (PCA) of the presence/absence of DGGE bacteria bands in the three age groups at pre-colonization in all rounds combined. Axes 1 and 2 together represent 23% of the total variation in the data. (C) PCA of presence/absence of DGGE bacteria bands in the three age groups at pre-colonization round by round. [Colour figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)].

in habitats in the western Kenya highlands where water was replenished every 10 days compared with habitats that persisted for 30 days. Although this confirms that gravid females make a choice, it cannot be concluded from their study that habitat age alone was responsible for this, or whether it might have been associated with other cues manipulated during the study, such as the removal of shading vegetation.

Similarly, the results of the present study do not suggest that water age is the actual driving factor for oviposition selection, although changes in habitat quality have taken place over time, as demonstrated in the present study in which all ponds were set up in exactly the same way yet at different time points. The older ponds were exposed to environmental conditions for extended an time. Ponds were open to rainfall and, specifically, the ponds allowed to age for 17 days before opening received substantial rain during the study periods, which is assumed to have contributed to the changes in habitat characteristics.

The fresh ponds were associated with a higher temperature, higher turbidity and lower pH than the older ponds with lower early-instar *Anopheles* densities. These three factors are correlated. Higher turbidity increases near-surface water temperature (Paaijmans *et al.*, 2008) as a result of the heat absorbing property of suspended water particles from solar radiation. (Herrera-Varela, 2015). Additionally, older ponds had a significantly higher chlorophyll content, which again might explain the higher pH. Notably, water temperature and pH change over a daily cycle based on climatic and biotic conditions; hence, a single measurement taken in the morning, as performed in the present study, does not allow strong inferences between these factors and oviposition, which takes place at dusk. However, increased *Anopheles* larval abundance has previously been associated with these factors (Fillinger *et al.*, 2009; Mwangangi *et al.*, 2010; Mala & Irungu, 2011; Mereta *et al.*, 2013; Herrera-Varela, 2015; Gimnig *et al.*, 2001; Awolola *et al.*, 2007; Chirebvu & Chimbari, 2015). Specifically, the findings from field surveys in the same study area in western Kenya showed that highly turbid water of around 200 NTU and above was consistently colonized by early-instars (Manuela Herrera-Varela *et al.*, 2014). Turbidity could affect the egg-laying of *Anopheles* mosquitoes in multiple ways. It is likely that turbid surfaces reflect polarized light, especially during dusk when malaria vectors seek oviposition sites and make these habitats more visible in the landscape (Bernáth *et al.*, 2004; Horváth, 2014). The fact that turbidity increases surface water temperatures might support a faster larval development (Bayoh & Lindsay, 2003; Kirby & Lindsay, 2009), which might be beneficial in transient habitats. High turbidity might also lower the risk of being observed by predators.

The fresh ponds also had a slightly higher nitrite level, which is in agreement with two previous studies (Kweka *et al.*, 2012; Ndenga *et al.*, 2012). Nitrites occur in water as an intermediate product in the biological breakdown of organic nitrogen, being produced either through the oxidation of ammonia or by the reduction of nitrate by microorganisms. The decomposition of organic matter introduced with the soil when the ponds were set up is probably responsible for this.

Microbial activity over time might have affected the habitat quality and the volatile chemicals released from the ponds. Mosquito larvae feed on detritus and microorganisms including

bacteria (Briegel, 2003) and bacterial associated cues have been shown to influence oviposition site selection of *Aedes* mosquitoes (Ponnusamy *et al.*, 2008; Albeny-Simoes *et al.*, 2014). However, the evidence for bacterial-derived cues with respect to *Anopheles* oviposition site selection is contradictory; although two studies have shown a positive oviposition response to bacteria containing oviposition media (Sumba *et al.*, 2004; Lindh *et al.*, 2008), another study reported the opposite effect (Huang *et al.*, 2006). In the present study, there was no round independent association between bacterial profile and the age of the oviposition substrates as a result of a high variability in bacteria profile. The differences in profile between rounds were larger than between age groups. Interestingly, however, round by round analysis revealed that the bacteria community in the fresh ponds differed strongly from older ponds in two out of the three rounds, which is an observation that warrants further investigation with respect to the role of bacteria in oviposition site selection by malaria vector mosquitoes. It has been shown previously that specific volatile compounds can be produced by more than one bacterium species (Lindh *et al.*, 2008); hence, different bacteria communities might still release similar volatile profiles. As with all techniques, the methods utilized in the present study to screen for bacteria have limitations and it is possible that a more general association between *Anopheles* larval abundance and bacteria could have been observed if other techniques such as large scale sequencing had been employed.

Three volatile compounds, 4-heptanone, 2-ethylhexanal and one isomer of octenal, were selectively detected in the higher colonized fresh ponds and not in the older ponds. These volatile compounds should be evaluated further in bioassays as putative oviposition attractants for *An. gambiae*. The ketone (4-heptanone), which was detected in 80% of the fresh ponds in the present study, has previously been included in a patented chemical blend for attracting host-seeking mosquitoes (Bernier *et al.*, 2000) and for capturing and killing bed bugs (Frutos *et al.*, 2015).

By analysing the water characteristics in ponds prior to colonization, differences were observed that may explain what brought the first generation of malaria vectors to a new, oviposition naïve site and this knowledge might be used to inform the development of new vector control tools. However, a number of study limitations need to be mentioned, highlighting the need for the results of the present study to be interpreted in the context of how the data were collected. Further studies are needed to confirm, for example, whether the observed changes in water characteristic are common when habitats age or instead were specific to the set-up used in the present study. The major aim of the present study was to directly correlate the physicochemical parameters, bacteria community and chemical volatiles released. As a result of the technically involving chemical analyses, only a relatively small number of samples and replicates could be analysed in the present study. High heterogeneity in the outcome (larval density), as well as in the explanatory variables, required a more descriptive approach to data analysis and makes the results more indicative than conclusive. Additional replication will be required for this work. Two rounds of the experiments strongly suggested an association with bacteria with respect to not only population composition, but also abundance, as reported previously (Herrera-Varela *et al.*, 2014),

and this should be investigated more systematically. More standardization reducing variability in the system might help to identify discover attractive or repellent volatiles for oviposition. Three volatiles were associated with fresh ponds exclusively and should be tested as oviposition attractants.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Benard Oyembe, Elisha Obudho and David Alila of icipe-TOC; Mbita for maintaining colonies of mosquitoes used for experiments; and Elizabeth Masinde, Paul Ouma, Rose Atieno, Gregory Masinde and Joel Odero for their technical assistance. This project was funded through a National Institutes of Health grant no. R01AI082537 and the Swedish Research Council (grant no SWE-2010-129). The funders had no role in study design, data collection or interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication. The authors declare that they have no competing interests. LKE, JL and UF conceived and designed the experiments. LKE performed the experiment. LKE, JL, GKR, AKBK analysed the data. LKE, UF wrote the manuscript; all authors contributed to the final draft of the manuscript submitted for publication.

### References

- Albeny-Simoes, D., Murrell, E.G., Elliot, S.L. *et al.* (2014) Attracted to the enemy: *Aedes aegypti* prefers oviposition sites with predator-killed conspecifics. *Oecologia*, **175**, 481–492.
- Antonio-Nkondjio, C., Fossog, B.T., Ndo, C. *et al.* (2011) *Anopheles gambiae* distribution and insecticide resistance in the cities of Douala and Yaounde (Cameroon): influence of urban agriculture and pollution. *Malaria Journal*, **10**, 154.
- Awolola, T.S., Oduola, A.O., Obansa, J.B., Chukwurar, N.J. & Unyima, J.P. (2007) *Anopheles gambiae s.s.* breeding in polluted water bodies in urban Lagos, southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Vector Borne Diseases*, **44**, 241–244.
- Bayoh, M.N. & Lindsay, S.W. (2003) Effect of temperature on the development of the aquatic stages of *Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, **93**, 375–381.
- Bentley, M.D. & Day, J.F. (1989) Chemical ecology and behavioral aspects of mosquito oviposition. *Annual Review of Entomology*, **34**, 401–421.
- Bernáth, B., Gál, J. & Horváth, G. (2004) Why is it worth flying at dusk for aquatic insects? Polarotactic water detection is easiest at low solar elevations. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **207**, 755–765.
- Bernier, U.R., Kline, D.L., Barnard, D.R., Booth, M.M. & Yost, R.A. (2000) *Chemical compositions that attract mosquitoes*, pp. 75. The United States of America, as Represented by the Secretary of Agriculture, USA; University of Florida; U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Briegel, H. (2003) Physiological bases of mosquito ecology. *Journal of Vector Ecology*, **28**, 1–11.
- Chirebvu, E. & Chimbari, M.J. (2015) Characteristics of *Anopheles arabiensis* larval habitats in Tubu village, Botswana. *Journal of Vector Ecology*, **40**, 129–138.
- Dida, G.O., Gelder, F.B., Anyona, D.N. *et al.* (2015) Presence and distribution of mosquito larvae predators and factors influencing their abundance along the Mara River, Kenya and Tanzania. *Springerplus*, **4**, 136.
- Edillo, F.E., Tripét, F., Touré, Y.T., Lanzaro, G.C., Dolo, G. & Taylor, C.E. (2006) Water quality and immatures of the M and S forms of *Anopheles gambiae s.s.* and *An. arabiensis* in a Malian village. *Malaria Journal*, **5**, 35.
- Eneh, L.K., Okal, M.N., Borg-Karlson, A.K., Fillinger, U. & Lindh, J.M. (2016a) Gravid *Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto* avoid ovipositing in Bermuda grass hay infusion and its volatiles in two choice egg-count bioassays. *Malaria Journal*, **15**, 276.
- Eneh, L.K., Saijo, H., Borg-Karlson, A.K., Lindh, J.M. & Rajarao, G.K. (2016b) Cedrol, a malaria mosquito oviposition attractant is produced by fungi isolated from rhizomes of the grass *Cyperus rotundus*. *Malaria Journal*, **15**, 478.
- Fillinger, U., Knols, B.G. & Becker, N. (2003) Efficacy and efficiency of new *Bacillus thuringiensis* var *israelensis* and *Bacillus sphaericus* formulations against Afrotropical anophelines in Western Kenya. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, **8**, 37–47.
- Fillinger, U., Sombroek, H., Majambere, S., van Loon, E., Takken, W. & Lindsay, S.W. (2009) Identifying the most productive breeding sites for malaria mosquitoes in The Gambia. *Malaria Journal*, **8**, 62.
- Fillinger, U., Sonye, G., Killeen, G.F., Knols, B.G.J. & Becker, N. (2004) The practical importance of permanent and semipermanent habitats for controlling aquatic stages of *Anopheles gambiae sensu lato* mosquitoes: operational observations from a rural town in western Kenya. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, **9**, 1274–1289.
- Frutos, U., Elkashef, S. & Brown, M.A. (2015) *Compositions and Methods for Capturing, Killing or Repelling Bed Bugs*, pp. 83. US Patent Application 20150366210; U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Gimnig, J.E., Ombok, M., Kamau, L. & Hawley, W.A. (2001) Characteristics of larval anopheline (Diptera: Culicidae) habitats in Western Kenya. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **38**, 282–288.
- Gimnig, J.E., Ombok, M., Otieno, S., Kaufman, M.G., Vulule, J.M. & Walker, E.D. (2002) Density-dependent development of *Anopheles gambiae* (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae in artificial habitats. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **39**, 162–172.
- Herrera-Varela, M. (2015) Larval habitat discrimination by the African malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae sensu lato*: observations from standardized experiments and field studies. PhD Thesis, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London.
- Herrera-Varela, M., Lindh, J., Lindsay, S.W. & Fillinger, U. (2014) Habitat discrimination by gravid *Anopheles gambiae sensu lato* – a push-pull system. *Malaria Journal*, **13**, 133.
- Horváth, G. (ed). (2014) *Light and Polarization Vision in Animal Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Huang, J., Miller, J.R., Chen, S.C., Vulule, J.M. & Walker, E.D. (2006) *Anopheles gambiae* (Diptera: Culicidae) oviposition in response to agarose media and cultured bacterial volatiles. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **43**, 498–504.
- Kaufman, M.G., Wanja, E., Maknoja, S., Bayoh, M.N., Vulule, J.M. & Walker, E.D. (2006) Importance of algal biomass to growth and development of *Anopheles gambiae* larvae. *Journal Medical Entomology*, **43**, 669–676.
- Kawada, H., Dida, G.O., Ohashi, K. *et al.* (2011) Multimodal pyrethroid resistance in malaria vectors, *Anopheles gambiae s.s.*, *Anopheles arabiensis*, and *Anopheles funestus s.s.* in Western Kenya. *PLoS ONE*, **6**, e22574.
- Kenea, O., Balkew, M. & Gebre-Michael, T. (2011) Environmental factors associated with larval habitats of anopheline mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in irrigation and major drainage areas in the

- middle course of the Rift Valley, central Ethiopia. *Journal of Vector Borne Diseases*, **48**, 85–92.
- Kirby, M.J. & Lindsay, S.W. (2009) Effect of temperature and inter-specific competition on the development and survival of *Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto* and *An. arabiensis* larvae. *Acta Tropica*, **109**, 118–123.
- Kweka, E.J., Zhou, G., Munga, S. *et al.* (2012) Anopheline larval habitats seasonality and species distribution: a prerequisite for effective targeted larval habitats control programmes. *PLoS ONE*, **7**, e52084.
- Lindh, J.M., Kaennaste, A., Knols, B.G.J., Faye, I. & Borg-Karlson, A.K. (2008) Oviposition responses of *Anopheles gambiae s.s.* (Diptera: Culicidae) and identification of volatiles from bacteria-containing solutions. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **45**, 1039–1049.
- Lindh, J.M., Okal, M.N., Herrera-Varela, M. *et al.* (2015) Discovery of an oviposition attractant for gravid malaria vectors of the *Anopheles gambiae species* complex. *Malaria Journal*, **14**, 1–25.
- Mala, A.O. & Irungu, L.W. (2011) Factors influencing differential larval habitat productivity of *Anopheles gambiae* complex mosquitoes in a western Kenyan village. *Journal of Vector Borne Diseases*, **48**, 52–57.
- McCrae, A.W. (1984) Oviposition by African malaria vector mosquitoes. II. Effects of site tone, water type and conspecific immatures on target selection by freshwater *Anopheles gambiae* Giles, *sensu lato*. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology*, **78**, 307–318.
- Mereta, S.T., Yewhalaw, D., Boets, P. *et al.* (2013) Physico-chemical and biological characterization of anopheline mosquito larval habitats (Diptera: Culicidae): implications for malaria control. *Parasites Vectors*, **6**, 320/321–320/316, 316 pp.
- Millar, J.G., Chaney, J.D. & Mulla, M.S. (1992) Identification of oviposition attractants for *Culex quinquefasciatus* from fermented Bermuda grass infusions. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, **8**, 11–17.
- Minakawa, N., Munga, S., Atieli, F. *et al.* (2005) Spatial distribution of anopheline larval habitats in Western Kenyan highlands: effects of land cover types and topography. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, **73**, 157–165.
- Minakawa, N., Mutero, C.M., Githure, J.I., Beier, J.C. & Yan, G. (1999) Spatial distribution and habitat characterization of anopheline mosquito larvae in Western Kenya. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, **61**, 1010–1016.
- Munga, S., Minakawa, N., Zhou, G., Barrack, O.O.J., Githeko, A.K. & Yan, G. (2005) Oviposition site preference and egg hatchability of *Anopheles gambiae*: effects of land cover types. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **42**, 993–997.
- Munga, S., Minakawa, N., Zhou, G. *et al.* (2006) Association between land cover and habitat productivity of malaria vectors in western Kenyan highlands. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, **74**, 69–75.
- Munga, S., Vulule, J. & Kweka, E.J. (2013) Response of *Anopheles gambiae s.l.* (Diptera: Culicidae) to larval habitat age in western Kenya highlands. *Parasites Vectors*, **6**, 13.
- Mutero, C.M., Ng'ang'a, P.N., Wekoyela, P., Githure, J. & Konradsen, F. (2004) Ammonium sulphate fertiliser increases larval populations of *Anopheles arabiensis* and culicine mosquitoes in rice fields. *Acta Tropica*, **89**, 187–192.
- Muturi, E.J., Mwangangi, J., Shililu, J. *et al.* (2008) Environmental factors associated with the distribution of *Anopheles arabiensis* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* in a rice agro-ecosystem in Mwea, Kenya. *Journal of Vector Ecology*, **33**, 56–63.
- Muturi, E.J., Mwangangi, J., Shililu, J. *et al.* (2007) Mosquito species succession and physicochemical factors affecting their abundance in rice fields in Mwea, Kenya. *Journal of Vector Ecology*, **44**, 336–344.
- Mwangangi, J.M., Mbogo, C.M., Muturi, E.J. *et al.* (2007a) Spatial distribution and habitat characterisation of *Anopheles* larvae along the Kenyan coast. *Journal of Vector Borne Diseases*, **44**, 44–51.
- Mwangangi, J.M., Muturi, E.J., Shililu, J.I. *et al.* (2007b) Environmental covariates of *Anopheles arabiensis* in a rice agroecosystem in Mwea, Central Kenya. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, **23**, 371–377.
- Mwangangi, J.M., Shililu, J., Muturi, E.J. *et al.* (2010) *Anopheles* larval abundance and diversity in three rice agro-village complexes Mwea irrigation scheme, central Kenya. *Malaria Journal*, **9**, 228.
- Ndenga, B.A., Simbauni, J.A., Mbugi, J.P. & Githeko, A.K. (2012) Physical, chemical and biological characteristics in habitats of high and low presence of anopheline larvae in Western Kenya highlands. *PLoS ONE*, **7**, e47975.
- Ndenga, B.A., Simbauni, J.A., Mbugi, J.P., Githeko, A.K. & Fillinger, U. (2011) Productivity of malaria vectors from different habitat types in the western Kenya highlands. *PLoS ONE*, **6**, e19473.
- Okal, M.N., Francis, B., Herrera-Varela, M., Fillinger, U. & Lindsay, S.W. (2013) Water vapour is a pre-oviposition attractant for the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto*. *Malaria Journal*, **12**, 365.
- Okal, M.N., Herrera-Varela, M., Fillinger, U. *et al.* (2015) Analysing chemical attraction of gravid *Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto* with modified BG-Sentinel traps. *Parasites Vectors*, **8**, 301.
- Paaijmans, K.P., Takken, W., Githeko, A.K. & Jacobs, A.F.G. (2008) The effect of water turbidity on the near-surface water temperature of larval habitats of the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, **52**, 747–753.
- Polz, M.F. & Cavanaugh, C.M. (1998) Bias in template-to-product ratios in multitemplate PCR. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **64**, 3724–3730.
- Ponnusamy, L., Ning, X., Stav, G., Wesson, D.M., Schal, C. & Apperson, C.S. (2008) Diversity of bacterial communities in container habitats of mosquitoes. *Microbial Ecology*, **56**, 593–603.
- Robert, V., Awono-Ambene, H.P. & Thioulouse, J. (1998) Ecology of larval mosquitoes, with special reference to *Anopheles arabiensis* (Diptera: Culicidae) in market-garden wells in urban Dakar, Senegal. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **35**, 948–955.
- Sumba, L.A., Guda, T.O., Deng, A.L., Hassanali, A., Beier, J.C. & Knols, B.G.J. (2004) Mediation of oviposition site selection in the African malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae* (Diptera: Culicidae) by semiochemicals of microbial origin. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, **24**, 260–265.
- Sumba, L.A., Ogbunugafor, C.B., Deng, A.L. & Hassanali, A. (2008) Regulation of oviposition in *Anopheles gambiae s.s.*: role of inter- and intra-specific signals. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **34**, 1430–1436.
- Warburg, A., Faiman, R., Shtern, A. *et al.* (2011) Oviposition habitat selection by *Anopheles gambiae* in response to chemical cues by *Notonecta maculata*. *Journal of Vector Ecology*, **36**, 421–425.
- Yaro, A.S., Dao, A., Adamou, A. *et al.* (2006) The distribution of hatching time in *Anopheles gambiae*. *Malaria Journal*, **5**, 19.
- Ye-Ebiyo, Y., Pollack, R.J., Kiszewski, A. & Spielman, A. (2003) Enhancement of development of larval *Anopheles arabiensis* by proximity to flowering maize (*Zea mays*) in turbid water and when crowded. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, **68**, 748–752.

Accepted 24 July 2018

First published online 31 August 2018